

"Express Mail" mailing label number EH862489299US

Date of Deposit 12/1/2000

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" services under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Typed Name of Person Mailing Paper or Fee: Betty Hinkle

Signature: Betty Hinkle

**PATENT APPLICATION  
DOCKET NO. 10001726-1**

**METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ELECTRONIC COLLATION**

**INVENTOR(S):**

Shell S. Simpson

DOCKET NO. 10001726-1

09/ 728690  
ASW  
3-9-01

1                   **METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ELECTRONIC COLLATION**

2  
3       **FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

4  
5           This invention relates generally to the production of multiple copies of a document,  
6   and more particularly to electronic collation.

7  
8       **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

9  
10          Collation is the process of sorting pages of multiple copies of a document so that the  
11   pages of each copy are contiguous and ordered (i.e. all the pages of copy one are contiguous,  
12   all the pages of copy two are contiguous, and so on). Traditionally, collation has been  
13   performed mechanically using output bins or original re-feeding. In the former case, as each  
14   page of the original is copied, each copy is placed in a different bin. When the required  
15   number of copies of a page has been made or the number of available bins has been  
16   exhausted, the next page is copied in a similar fashion. This process is continued until all  
17   pages have been copied. Mechanical output bin collation suffers from a number of  
18   limitations. First, the number of collated copies that can be printed cannot exceed the number  
19   of bins available. Second, the number of pages in a single copy cannot exceed the capacity of  
20   an output bin.

21  
22          The mechanical limitations associated with the use of sorting bins can be overcome by  
23   re-feeding the original pages once for each copy. Using this technique, collated copies are  
24   stacked upon each other in a single output bin. Although this technique avoids the problems  
25   associated with the use of multiple output bins, it introduces another serious shortcoming:  
26   performance. Each page of the original must be fed into the hardcopy device multiple times.  
27   This process requires extra time and introduces additional potential for mechanical failure. In  
28   contrast, when using output bins to collate, it is only necessary to feed each page of the  
29   original once, thus reducing the potential for mechanical failure and avoiding the time  
30   required to repeatedly feed the original pages.

09/ 728690  
ASW  
3-9-01



With hardcopy devices that utilize disk drives to store the original pages in digital form, exhausting the amount of available storage is rare. However, very few hardcopy devices (less than 10%) have a hard disk installed. For the majority of printers (e.g., the HEWLETT-PACKARD (TM) LASERJET (TM) model 4050 printer), digital collation is performed using RAM storage. Because of the relatively high cost of RAM per megabyte in comparison to hard disk storage, the amount of storage that is provided through RAM is severely limited. This drastically increases the chances that available storage will be exhausted when using digital collation to print.

When available storage is exhausted during a digital collation operation, there is currently no reliable way to recover or to even notify the end-user who originated the print job. This means, for example, that if a reasonably large document is printed using digital collation, only a single copy of the document will print—without warning or explanation that the additional copies did not print.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one respect, the invention is a method for printing N collated copies of a document on a printer, where N is an integer greater than one. The method determines whether the printer has sufficient capacity to print N collated copies of the document. If the printer has insufficient capacity to store one copy of the document, then the method performs the following step N times: sending a single copy of the document to the printer. The capacity may be memory to store one copy of the document in print ready form.

In another respect, the invention is a computer readable medium on which is embedded a program that performs the method described above.

In yet another respect, the invention is an apparatus for processing an incoming print job requesting N collated copies of a document on a printer, where N is an integer greater than one. The apparatus comprises a memory, a spooler connected to the memory, a status

agent and a control logic connected to the spooler and the status agent. The memory is configured to store the document. The spooler is configured to send an outgoing print job to the printer. The status agent is configured to receive from the printer information regarding whether the printer has sufficient capacity to collate the document. The control logic controls the spooler on the basis of this information.

In comparison to known prior art, certain embodiments of the invention are capable of achieving certain advantages, including some or all of the following: (1) printing of the correct number of copies in almost all cases transparently to the user; (2) automatic detection of and compensation for limitations due to inadequate storage capacity; and (3) relaxation of device memory requirements, allowing, for example, production of printers with less expensive RAM memory without sacrificing digital collation performance. Those skilled in the art will appreciate these and other advantages and benefits of various embodiments of the invention upon reading the following detailed description of a preferred embodiment with reference to the below-listed drawings.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 is an interaction diagram of devices and their actions, according to one embodiment of the invention;

Figure 2 is a flowchart of a method according to an embodiment of the invention; and

Figure 3 is a block diagram of component modules according to one embodiment of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF A PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

Figure 1 is an interaction diagram of a system 100 comprising a printer 110 and a computer system 120 as well as object flow between them, according to one embodiment of the invention. The printer 110 is capable of performing digital collation and includes a memory 130 for this purpose. The memory 130 may be RAM, but this need not be the case.



1 computer system 120 sends the document to the printer 110 N-1 times, each one as a single  
2 copy print job.

3

Figure 2 is a flowchart of a method 200 according to an embodiment of the invention. The method 200 is typically performed by the computer system 120. The method 200 begins when an N-collation print job (i.e., a job requesting N collated copies of a document to be produced on a destination printer (e.g., the printer 110)) is received (210). The print job is then stored (220). Next, the method 200 tests (230) whether the printer 110 has sufficient memory for collation of the job. Typically, sufficient memory is that required to store one copy of the document in print ready form. If the printer 110 has sufficient memory, the method 200 terminates after the testing step 230. On the other hand, if the printer's (110) memory is insufficient, then the method 200 modifies (240) the print job by overwriting the copy count to be one and proceeds to print N-1 single copies of the document, assuming that the printer 110 has already received the original print job and will produce one copy of the document as a result.

16

17 To produce the extra N-1 single copies of the document, the method 200 initializes  
18 (250) a counter variable to be two. The method 200 then repeats a loop by sending (260) the  
19 modified job to the printer 110, incrementing (270) the counter variable and testing (280)  
20 whether the counter variable equals N, in which case the method 200 terminates.

21

The testing step 230 for determining whether the printer's memory is sufficient will now be described in greater detail. According to one implementation, the printer 110 affirmatively reports that its memory is sufficient. According to another implementation, the computer system 120 waits for the printer 110 to report that its memory is insufficient. While awaiting this bad news, the computer system 120 checks whether the first copy of the document has completed printing. If the first copy has completed printing and the printer has not yet reported that its memory is insufficient, then the printer very likely has adequate storage capacity and the computer system 120 so concludes. The computer system 120 can prompt the printer 110 to report on its memory status in a variety of ways. According to one

1 technique, the computer system 120 polls the printer by querying a PML (print management  
2 language) or SNMP (simple network management protocol) object, depending upon the  
3 nature of the connection between the printer 110 and the computer system 120. PML is a  
4 protocol for communicating with directly connected (e.g., parallel or serial) peripherals, as  
5 opposed to networked peripherals. PML is similar to SNMP, which is used with network  
6 connected devices instead.

7  
8 Another technique for prompting the printer 110 to report its memory status is  
9 described in commonly assigned U.S. patent application serial number 09/393,215, entitled  
10 "Method and Apparatus for Establishing Two-Way Communication with a Remote Printer,"  
11 filed September 9, 1999, which is hereby incorporated by reference. According to this  
12 technique, the computer system 120 sends to the printer 110 a print job, in which is embedded  
13 a modified PJI (print job language) SOCKETPING command directing the printer to send  
14 job status information to a specific network address.

15  
16 Although the testing step 230 preferably determines whether the printer 110 has  
17 adequate storage capacity to perform digital collation, the testing step 230 can alternatively or  
18 additionally check for other capacity inadequacies (e.g., processing power, other hardware  
19 deficiencies, or the presence of a digital collation feature at all). In other words, the testing  
20 step 230 can generally determine adequate capacity in a broad sense, not just storage capacity.  
21

22 Figure 3 is a block diagram of pertinent component modules within the computer  
23 system 120, according to one embodiment of the invention. The pertinent component  
24 modules include a reception port 310, the memory 140, a spooler 320, a control logic 330 and  
25 a status agent 340. The reception port 310 performs the receiving step 210, receiving an  
26 incoming print job. Of course, the reception port 310 and the receiving step 210 are not  
27 present when the print job originates at the computer system 120. The memory 140 stores the  
28 print job, as already described. The spooler 320 sends any print jobs to the printer 110. The  
29 spooler 320 can forward the original print job to the printer 110 if necessary, as would be the  
30 case when, unlike the case shown in Figure 1, the original print job is not independently sent





- 1 art will recognize that many variations are possible within the spirit and scope of the
- 2 invention, which is intended to be defined by the following claims-- and their equivalents --
- 3 in which all terms are meant in their broadest reasonable sense unless otherwise indicated.

00728690-120100  
00728690-120100